Senior Design Team 11 Website: https://sddec18-11.sd.ece.iastate.edu/

Client: Alliant Energy

Darin Lamos and Logan Heinen of the Distribution Engineering Department Faculty Advisor: Professor James McCalley

#### **Problem Statement**

Alliant Energy has tasked our team with creating an Excel tool that will calculate the ideal combination of solar panels, batteries, and supplemental generation needed to meet the kWh demands of Nichols, Iowa. This town is miles from the grid and requires long transmission lines to provide power to the town, so it might be more cost effective to create a microgrid in Nichols.

Population: 361 Peak Demand: 630 kVA for 3 hours Total Annual Load: 2,231 MVA Fed via grid interconnection





# **Market Survey**

- Few island microgrids
- Evolving Photovoltaic technology
- Remote towns require long transmission lines
- Philippines Microgrid 2018 (2MW Solar, 1.5MWh Battery, Diesel Gen 1,260 kW)

Map of Operational Microgrid Deployments by End-User Type Across the Continental U.S.\*



<sup>📕</sup> Commercial 📕 Military Installation 📕 University/Research Facility 📕 City/Community 📕 Public Institution 🔳 Island 📗 Remote Community 📕 Multiple End User Types\*\* Source: GTM Research, U.S. Microgrid Tracker Q3 2017

Figure 1

<sup>\*</sup> The size of the bubbles correspond with the total capacity (MW) installed in that location.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Microgrids are mapped based on city location; when multiple microgrids are in the same city they may get the multiple end user designation. In some cases for data privacy, data is given at a state or national level. In these cases, the microgrids are mapped at the center of the state.

## **Functional Requirements**

- The simulation environment shall include solar radiation, generation, and load.
- The design will consist of solar panels, batteries, and supplemental generation from diesel fuel generators.
- The design will identify the quantity of solar panels and batteries required to meet the desired load.
- The design will quantify the relationship between Net Present Value (\$/kWh) of the system and demand not met.

## Non-Functional Requirements

- Visual representations of cost vs efficiency (demand not met)
- Simulation to test for a year of data
- Show other possible combinations in separate tabs
  - 100% Solar Combinations
  - Combinations With More Excess Demand
- Condense all important information into one user interface

## Technical Constraints/Considerations

- The final deliverable shall be in the form of an Excel spreadsheet
- The only sources of power for the microgrid shall be solar panels and batteries
  - Supplemental generation is added for demand not met

# **Potential Risks & Mitigation**

- Accuracy of future load growth and PV and battery quantities to supply the load
  - The growth of a town can only be estimated based on past trends and would affect the growth of the microgrid
  - The ratio between the quantity of PV and batteries is dependent on the weather of the microgrid location.
    - For example, a location with less solar radiation will require more solar panels to charge the batteries and supply the load, rather than a location with more solar radiation.

#### **Resource/Cost Estimate**

- Our project does not require any funds
- All necessary resources on data, costs and technology specifications for the Nichols system is provided by Alliant

# **Project Milestones**

First Semester:

- Initial combination of solar and battery capable of supplying enough power
- Simulating the microgrid for one week, one month, one year
- Implementing supplemental generation and 100%, 90%, and 80% solar supply Second Semester:
  - Outputting the optimal combination of panels, batteries, and supplemental generation
  - Recommending 3 different combinations for user inputted costs and excess demand
  - Using the simulation to model the cost and reliability of panel and battery combinations

## Detailed Design - Semester 1

SIMULATION PROTOTYPE V3				Legend		
Initial Battery Storage	50%					
Month	2	February				
Average Daily Usage	7,308	kWh	Generate Random Usage			
Solar Panel Wattage	360	w	(90% to 110% of Average Daily Usage)			
Number of Panels	5,555	1				
Total Solar Generation Power	2.00	MW	Generate Random Solar Days (1, 2, or 3)			
Number of Batteries	200					
Single Battery Capacity	30	kWh				
Capacity of Battery Storage	6,000	kWh				
Total Initial Battery Charge	3,000	kWh	Print Daily	Totals		
Supplemental Generator Capacity	-	kWh		Totais		

## **Detailed Design - Daily**



#### **Detailed Design - Yearly**



# Fall Schedule

- August October: Start economic based calculations and include inverters
- Sep Nov: Reverse the initial simulation tool to output the acceptable combinations
- Oct Dec: Design VBA code to run numerous simulations and output combinations to Excel that meet user defined parameters
- Nov Dec: Output recommended combinations and a graph comparing cost and reliability
- Dec: Finalize Senior Design Project and prepare to deliver to Alliant

# Functional Decomposition - Semester 2

- 1. Enter input information for the simulation
  - a. Average daily usage per month
  - b. Maximum size supplemental generator
  - c. Yearly excess demand allowed
  - d. Miscellaneous startup costs.
- 2. Specifications Tab
  - a. Equipment specifications, install, fuel, and maintenance costs, etc.
- 3. Load and Solar Profile Tabs

Final Simulation Tool		
Average Daily Usage		
January	6,943.23	kWh
February	6,636.36	kWh
March	4,947.97	kWh
April	6,056.23	kWh
May	4,225.81	kWh
June	5,204.23	kWh
July	6,509.29	kWh
August	7,382.06	kWh
September	6,169.67	kWh
October	5,465.48	kWh
November	6,533.20	kWh
December	7,308.35	kWh
Max Size Supp Gen:	100	kW
Yearly Excess Demand Allowed (%)	0.500%	
Misc Startup Costs (Land, additional distribution, etc)	\$ 500,000	
Total Average Yearly Demand:	2,230,966	kWh
Yearly Excess Demand Allowed:	11,154.83	kWh

# Technology

- Excel VBA (Visual Basic for Applications)
  - Randomizes one year of load and solar data
  - 9,350 Combinations of solar panels and batteries
    - 100 11,000 Solar Panels (360W)
    - 100 kWh 8,500 kWh Battery
  - Each combinations is simulated through the same year of solar/load data
  - Supplemental Generation is added to combinations with excess demand

# Technology - Cont.

- Excel VBA (Visual Basic for Applications)
  - Acceptable combinations printed to result tabs
    - Based on total yearly excess demand
  - Recommended combinations printed to "Inputs" tab
    - Recommended combination
    - 0% excess demand with supplemental generation
    - 100% Solar combination (no supplemental generation)
  - Program can be used for other locations

Final Simulation Tool												
				Instructions:	Enter Values for h	nighlighted cells, hit ru	n, view results bel	ow and in results tabs				
Average Daily Usage												
January	6,943.23	kWh							Recommended:	0% Excess w/Supp	100% Solar:	
February	6,636.36	kWh						Solar Capacity (MW)				
March	4,947.97	kWh						# of Inverters				
April	6,056.23	kWh			Run			Battery Capacity (kWh)				
May	4,225.81	kWh						Supp. Generation Size (kW)				
June	5,204.23	kWh						Yearly Excess Demand (kWh)				
July	6,509.29	kWh		-				Maximum % Excess Demand				
August	7,382.06	kWh						Install and Base Cost				
September	6,169.67	kWh				1		Yearly Operation Cost				
October	5,465.48	kWh		Clea	ar Results			30 Year Total Cost				
November	6,533.20	kWh						30 Year PV Total Cost				
December	7,308.35	kWh				-		\$/kWh				
Max Size Supp Gen:	100	) kW										+
(early Excess Demand Allowed (%)	0.500%		Voarty Excess Domand vs. \$/kwb									
			0.05			really	Excess Deman	u vs. 5/ KWII				
Misc Startup Costs (Land, additional	ner retraction		0.00									
distribution, etc)	Ş 500,000		0.055									
			0.05									
Total Average Yearly Demand:	2,230,966	kWh	0.045									
early Excess Demand Allowed:	11,154.83	kWh										
			0.04									
			0.035									
			₩ 0.03									
			0.025									
			0.02									
			0.015									
			0.01									
			0.01									
			0.005									
			0									
			0	0.	.2	0.4	0.6 Yearly Excess De	0.8 mand (kWh)		1		1.2
							, LACCOS DC					

Final Simulation Tool												
				Instructions:	Enter Va	lues for highlighted	cells, hit run, view	w results belo	w and in results tabs			
Average Daily Usage												
anuary	6,943.23	kWh								Recommended:	0% Excess w/Supp	100% Solar:
ebruary	6,636.36	kWh							Solar Capacity (MW)	1.98	2.41	2.45
Vlarch	4,947.97	kWh							# of Inverters	66	81	82
April	6,056.23	kWh			Run				Battery Capacity (kWh)	3,700.00	4,100.00	4,100.00
May	4,225.81	kWh							Supp. Generation Size (kW	/) 100	25.76	0
lune	5,204.23	kWh							Yearly Excess Demand (kW	(h) 10,769.06	0	0
July	6,509.29	kWh							Maximum % Excess Demai	nd 69.70%	0.00%	0.00%
August	7,382.06	kWh							Install and Base Cost	\$2,684,000.00	\$2,897,339.00	\$2,878,000.00
September	6,169.67	kWh				1			Yearly Operation Cost	\$38,311.57	\$44,380.00	\$44,920.00
October	5,465.48	kWh			Clear Results				30 Year Total Cost	\$3,845,393.00	\$4,233,495.00	\$4,230,356.00
November	6,533.20	kWh							30 Year PV Total Cost	\$3,302,390.00	\$3,613,680.00	\$3,603,057.00
December	7,308.35	kWh		-					\$/kWh	0.0579	0.0634	0.0633
Max Size Supp Gen:	100	kW										
Yearly Excess Demand Allowed (%)	0.500%						Yearly Exce	ess Demand	l vs. \$/kwh			
Misc Startup Costs (Land, additional distribution, etc)	\$ 500,000		0.09	and the second		3.	-		1	No.		
Total Average Yearly Demand:	2,230,966	kWh	10.				Concernence of the second	· · · ·	**************************************	*****		
/early Excess Demand Allowed:	11,154.83	kWh	0.07					\$ 3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
			- 33					1.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•
			0.06 N/S			5	· Ser		· · · · · · · · · ·		in the st	•
			0.05									
			0.04									
			0.03	2	2,000.00	4,000.0	0	6,000.00	8,000.00		10,000.00	12,000.00
							Vo	arby Excose Don	and (kWh)			
							Te	any excess ben				

#### **Our Recommended Combination - Nichols, IA**

	Recommended:	0% Excess w/Supp	100% Solar:
Solar Capacity (MW)	1.44	2.23	2.38
# of Inverters	48	75	80
Battery Capacity (kWh)	2,500.00	3,600.00	4,200.00
Supp. Generation Size (kW)	300	293.11	0
Yearly Excess Demand (kWh)	2,097.67	0	0
Maximum % Excess Demand	28.79%	0.00%	0.00%
Install and Base Cost	\$2,262,000.00	\$2,937,423.00	\$2,900,000.00
Yearly Operation Cost	\$43,766.68	\$41,945.72	\$44,040.00
30 Year Total Cost	\$3,687,767.00	\$4,208,072.00	\$4,226,072.00
30 Year PV Total Cost	\$2,968,441.00	\$3,614,472.00	\$3,610,853.00
\$/kWh	0.0553	0.063	0.0633

# Implementing Tool

- Alliant
  - Their newly formed micro-grid team will use this in-addition to other software (Homer)
  - Other locations in Iowa with the potential to implement a microgrid
- Iowa State
  - Possible use by an Iowa State graduate student to assist in microgrid research

**Conclusion – Questions?** 

#### Sources

Figure 1: Metelitsa, Colleen. "U.S. Microgrids 2017: Market Drivers, Analysis and Forecast." U.S. Microgrids 2017: Market Drivers, Analysis and Forecast | Greentech Media, Nov. 2017

Kenning, Tom. "Solar for the Country: Inside Southeast Asia's Largest Micro-Grid." *PV Tech*, PV-Tech, 23 Nov. 2018, www.pv-tech.org/editors-blog/solar-for-the-country-inside-southeast-asias-largest-micro-grid.